

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 FINJAN, INC.,
8 Plaintiff,
9 v.
10 CISCO SYSTEMS INC.,
11 Defendant.

Case No. 17-cv-00072-BLF

**ORDER RE: SEALING MOTIONS
RELATED TO THE PARTIES'
MOTIONS *IN LIMINE* AT ECF 530,
ECF 532, ECF 540, ECF 543, ECF 566,
ECF 576**

12 Before the Court are administrative motions filed by Plaintiff Finjan, Inc. (“Finjan”) and
13 Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”) to file under seal portions of their briefs and exhibits in
14 connection with the parties’ motions *in limine*. For the reasons states below, (1) Finjan’s Motion to
15 Seal at ECF 530 is GRANTED, (2) Cisco’s Motion to Seal at ECF 532 is GRANTED IN PART and
16 DENIED IN PART, (3) Finjan’s Motion to Seal at ECF 540 is GRANTED, (4) Finjan’s Motion to
17 Seal at ECF 543 is TERMINATED AS MOOT, (5) Cisco’s Motion to Seal at ECF 566 is
18 GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART, and (6) Finjan’s Motion to Seal at ECF 576 is
19 GRANTED.
20

I. LEGAL STANDARD

21 “Historically, courts have recognized a ‘general right to inspect and copy public records and
22 documents, including judicial records and documents.’” *Kamakana v. City & Cty. Of Honolulu*,
23 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 597
24 & n. 7 (1978)). Accordingly, when considering a sealing request, “a ‘strong presumption in favor
25 of access’ is the starting point.” *Id.* (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122,
26 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)). Parties seeking to seal judicial records relating to motions that are “more
27 than tangentially related to the underlying cause of action” bear the burden of overcoming the
28

1 presumption with “compelling reasons” that outweigh the general history of access and the public
2 policies favoring disclosure. *Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp.*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1099 (9th Cir.
3 2016); *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1178–79.

4 However, “while protecting the public’s interest in access to the courts, we must remain
5 mindful of the parties’ right to access those same courts upon terms which will not unduly harm
6 their competitive interest.” *Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.*, 727 F.3d 1214, 1228–29 (Fed.
7 Cir. 2013). Records attached to motions that are “not related, or only tangentially related, to the
8 merits of a case” therefore are not subject to the strong presumption of access. *Ctr. for Auto Safety*,
9 809 F.3d at 1099; *see also Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179 (“[T]he public has less of a need for access
10 to court records attached only to non-dispositive motions because those documents are often
11 unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action.”). Parties moving to seal
12 the documents attached to such motions must meet the lower “good cause” standard of Rule 26(c).
13 *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179 (internal quotations and citations omitted). This standard requires a
14 “particularized showing,” *id.*, that “specific prejudice or harm will result” if the information is
15 disclosed. *Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1210–11 (9th Cir.
16 2002); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). “Broad allegations of harm, unsubstantiated by specific examples
17 of articulated reasoning” will not suffice. *Beckman Indus., Inc. v. Int’l Ins. Co.*, 966 F.2d 470, 476
18 (9th Cir. 1992). A protective order sealing the documents during discovery may reflect the court’s
19 previous determination that good cause exists to keep the documents sealed, *see Kamakana*, 447
20 F.3d at 1179–80, but a blanket protective order that allows the parties to designate confidential
21 documents does not provide sufficient judicial scrutiny to determine whether each particular
22 document should remain sealed. *See* Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A) (“Reference to a stipulation or
23 protective order that allows a party to designate certain documents as confidential is not sufficient
24 to establish that a document, or portions thereof, are sealable.”).

25 In addition to making particularized showings of good cause, parties moving to seal
26 documents must comply with the procedures established by Civ. L.R. 79-5. Pursuant to Civ. L.R.
27 79-5(b), a sealing order is appropriate only upon a request that establishes the document is
28 “sealable,” or “privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under

1 the law.” “The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material, and must
 2 conform with Civil L.R. 79-5(d).” Civ. L.R. 79-5(b). In part, Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) requires the
 3 submitting party to attach a “proposed order that is narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable
 4 material” which “lists in table format each document or portion thereof that is sought to be sealed,”
 5 Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(b), and an “unredacted version of the document” that indicates “by highlighting
 6 or other clear method, the portions of the document that have been omitted from the redacted
 7 version.” Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(d). “Within 4 days of the filing of the Administrative Motion to File
 8 Under Seal, the Designating Party must file a declaration as required by subsection 79-5(d)(1)(A)
 9 establishing that all of the designated material is sealable.” Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).

10 **II. DISCUSSION**

11 The Court has reviewed the parties’ sealing motions and the declarations of the designating
 12 parties submitted in support thereof. The Court’s rulings on the sealing requests are set forth in the
 13 tables below. Where the designating party has requested sealing, the Court finds that the parties
 14 have articulated compelling reasons to seal certain portions of the submitted documents and the
 15 proposed redactions are generally narrowly tailored.

16 **A. ECF 530, Finjan’s Administrative Motion to File under Seal Finjan’s Motion in
 17 Limine No. 2 and Exhibits**

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
530-4	Finjan Inc.’s Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 2 to Preclude Derogatory or Misleading Characterizations Of Finjan’s Business	GRANTED as to the highlighted portions of Finjan Inc.’s Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 2 to Preclude Derogatory or Misleading Characterizations Of Finjan’s Business at page 1, lines 8 and 25-26; page 2, lines 17-19 and 21-22	This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan’s business and licensing practice that Finjan has designated “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” under the Protective Order. Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Declaration of K. Nicole Williams (“Williams Decl. I”) ¶ 2, ECF 530-1. The highlighted portions of Finjan Inc.’s Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 2 to Preclude Derogatory or Misleading Characterizations Of Finjan’s

United States District Court
Northern District of California

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			Business contain confidential business and licensing information that is not publically available. These portions contain information from Exhibits 3-5. Finjan treats this business and licensing information as highly confidential within its business and makes substantial efforts not to disclose information to the public. Such information could be used by Finjan's competitors, as it reveals Finjan business strategies. Williams Decl. I ¶ 3.
533-1	Exhibit 3 to the Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Finjan Inc.'s Motions <i>In Limine</i> ("Chacon Decl.") Excerpts of the transcript of the deposition of Philip Hartstein	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan's business and licensing practice that Finjan has designated "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the Protective Order. Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Williams Decl. I ¶ 2. Exhibit 3 to the Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Finjan's Motions <i>In Limine</i> ("Chacon Decl.") contains confidential business and licensing information that is not publically available. Finjan treats this business and licensing information as highly confidential within its business and makes substantial efforts not to disclose information to the public. Such information could be used by Finjan's competitors, as it reveals Finjan business strategies. Williams Decl. I ¶ 4.
533-2	Exhibit 4 to the Chacon Decl. Excerpts of the transcript of the deposition of Daniel Chinn	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan's internal business practices and relationship with subsidiaries that Finjan has designated "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the Protective Order. Public disclosure of this information would cause harm

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			<p>to Finjan. Williams Decl. I ¶ 2.</p> <p>Exhibit 4 to the Chacon Declaration contains confidential business information that is not publically available, including information regarding other entities related to Finjan and merger with such entities. Finjan treats this business information as highly confidential within its business and makes substantial efforts not to disclose information to the public. Such information could be used by Finjan's competitors, as it reveals Finjan business strategies and processes. Williams Decl. I ¶ 5.</p>
533-3	<p>Exhibit 5 to the Chacon Decl.</p> <p>Excerpts of the transcript of the deposition of Michael Noonan</p>	GRANTED as to the entire document.	<p>This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan's business and licensing practice that Finjan has designated "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the Protective Order. Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Williams Decl. I ¶ 2.</p> <p>Exhibit 5 to the Chacon Declaration contains confidential business and licensing information that is not publically available, including information regarding Finjan's revenue stream and information regarding subsidiaries of Finjan. Finjan treats this business and licensing information as highly confidential within its business and makes substantial efforts not to disclose information to the public. Such information could be used by Finjan's competitors, as it reveals Finjan business strategies. Williams Decl. I ¶ 6.</p>

B. ECF 532, Cisco's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Re Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Motions *in Limine*

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
532-4	Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 1 To Exclude Phil Hartstein's Testimony Regarding Finjan's Corporate History As Hearsay	GRANTED as to highlighted portions at: 3:11-12; 4:22- 27; 5:1-2	<p>The highlighted portions of this document are direct quotes from or reference the one or more exhibits that Cisco is filing under seal which were designated by Finjan as or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order for reasons discussed below. Declaration of Nicole Grigg ("Grigg Decl. I") ¶ 2, ECF 532-1.</p> <p>The highlighted portions of this document reflect testimony regarding Finjan's history and business practices that Finjan has designated "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Declaration of Megan Chacon ("Chacon Decl. I") ¶¶ 2-4, ECF 552.</p>
532-6	Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 3 Re Prejudicial Litigation Settlement Amounts	GRANTED as to highlighted portions at: 1:3, 1:4, 1:7-1:8, 2:8, 2:10-2:11, 2:17-2:18, 2:19, 2:21, 2:22, 2:23, 2:26-2:27, 3:3- 3:5, 3:6-3:8, 3:19, 3:20-3:21, 3:24-3:25, 4:1, 4:2, 4:3, 4:9, 4:10-4:12, 4:14-4:15, 4:16-4:17, 4:18	<p>The highlighted portions of this document are direct quotes from or reference the one or more exhibits that Cisco is filing under seal which were designated by Finjan as or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order for reasons discussed below. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2.</p> <p>The highlighted portions of this document reflect information regarding Finjan licensing and settlement agreements that Finjan has designated "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. I</p>

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			¶¶ 2-4.
532-8	Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 4 Re Evidence and Arguments Pertaining to Certain '633 Patent Infringement Theories	DENIED	<p>The highlighted portions of this document are direct quotes from or reference the one or more exhibits that Cisco is filing under seal which were designated by Finjan "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY – SOURCE CODE" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order for reasons discussed below. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2.</p> <p>The designating party, Finjan, has not requested that this document be sealed. <i>See generally</i>, Chacon Decl. I.</p>
532-10	Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 5 to Exclude Evidence That Improperly Skews the Damages Horizon	DENIED as MOOT.	Cisco's Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 5 is stricken per ECF 548.
532-11	Deposition excerpts from the February 25, 2019 deposition transcript of Philip Hartstein Exhibit 1	GRANTED as to the entire document.	<p>This transcript was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains deposition testimony related to Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2.</p> <p>This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan's history and business practices that Finjan has designated "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.</p>
532-12	Deposition excerpts from the deposition transcript of Shlomo Touboul, taken August 6, 2019	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This transcript was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this

	<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
1		Exhibit 5		document contains deposition testimony related to Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2.
2				This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan's history and business practices that Finjan has designated "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10	532-13	Deposition excerpts from the deposition transcript of Asher Polani taken August 5, 2019	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This transcript was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains deposition testimony related to Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2.
11		Exhibit 6		
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21	532-14	FINJAN-CISCO 300625-300660 (excerpts from the April 7, 2015 deposition transcript of John Vigouroux from the matter of Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc., No. 13- cv-03999)	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains deposition testimony related to Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2.
22		Exhibit 7		
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			business practices that Finjan has designated “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.
532-15	Excerpts from July 11, 2019 Expert Report Of Dr. Anne Layne-Farrar Exhibit 9	GRANTED as to the entire document.	<p>This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2.</p> <p>Portions of this document contain confidential financial information relating to the pricing and costs of the accused Cisco products and technical information related to the structure, function and operation of the accused Cisco products and Finjan’s expert’s analysis thereof. Grigg Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.</p> <p>This document reflects Finjan business and licensing practices that Finjan has designated “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.</p>
532-16	Excerpts from the March 15, 2019 deposition transcript of Julie Mar-Spinola Exhibit 11	GRANTED as to the entire document.	<p>This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains deposition testimony related to Finjan’s confidential business information. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2.</p> <p>This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan’s business and licensing practices that Finjan has designated “Highly Confidential –</p>

United States District Court
Northern District of California

	<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
1				Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.
2	532-17	Excerpts from the April 18, 2019 deposition transcript of Hilton Romanski Exhibit 13	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains deposition testimony related to Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2. This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan business and licensing information that Finjan has designated "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.
3	532-18	Excerpts from the Second Amended Expert Report of Michael Mitzenmacher, Ph.D. Regarding Infringement by Cisco Systems, Inc. of Patent Nos. 6,804,780 and 8,141,154 Exhibit 14	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY SOURCE CODE" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2. Specifically, this document contains confidential technical information related to the structure, function and operation of the accused Cisco products and Finjan's expert's analysis thereof. Grigg Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.
4	532-19	Excerpts from the Second Amended Expert Report of Eric Cole, Ph.D. Regarding Infringement by Cisco Systems, Inc. of Patent Nos. 6,154,844 and 8,677,494. Exhibit 15	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY SOURCE CODE" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2. Specifically, this document contains

	<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
1				confidential technical information related to the structure, function and operation of the accused Cisco products and Finjan's expert's analysis thereof. Grigg Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.
2	532-20	Excerpts from the Second Amended Expert Report of Nenad Medvidovic, Ph.D. Regarding Infringement by Cisco Systems, Inc. of Patent No. 7,647,633. Exhibit 16	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY SOURCE CODE" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2. Specifically, this document contains confidential technical information related to the structure, function and operation of the accused Cisco products and Finjan's expert's analysis thereof. Grigg Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.
3	532-21	Excerpts from the August 29, 2019 Errata, Expert Report of Dr. Anne LayneFarrar, Exhibit 5 Exhibit 17	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2. Portions of this document contain confidential financial information relating to the pricing and costs of the accused Cisco products and technical information related to the structure, function and operation of the accused Cisco products and Finjan's expert's analysis thereof. Grigg Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.
4	532-22	Excerpts from the deposition transcript of Anne Layne-Farrar, taken September 11, 2019 Exhibit 18	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated in its entirety by Cisco as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2. Portions of this document contain confidential financial information relating to the pricing and costs of the accused Cisco products and technical information related to the structure,

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			function and operation of the accused Cisco products and Finjan's expert's analysis thereof. Grigg Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.
532-23	Excerpts from the Amended Expert Report of Nenad Medvidovic, Ph.D., Regarding Infringement by Cisco Systems, Inc. of Patent No. 7,647,633 Exhibit 19	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY SOURCE CODE" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2. Specifically, this document contains confidential technical information related to the structure, function and operation of the accused Cisco products and Finjan's expert's analysis thereof. Grigg Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.
532-24	Excerpts from the deposition transcript of Daniel Chin, taken April 10, 2019 Exhibits 21	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains deposition testimony related to Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. I ¶ 2. This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan's history and business practices that Finjan has designated "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. I ¶¶ 2-4.

C. ECF 540, Finjan's Administrative Motion to File under Seal Finjan's Motion in Limine No. 4 and Exhibits

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
540-22	Finjan Inc.'s Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 4 to Preclude Reliance on	GRANTED as to the	The highlighted portions of this document reflect information that

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
1	2 Undisclosed Non-Infringement Theories and Exhibits	3 highlighted portions at page 1, lines 8-9, 17-20, 22, 24-25; page 3, lines 1, 9-13, 27; page 4, lines 2-4, 6, 13-14	5 Cisco has designated as Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only under the Protective Order. Declaration of K Nicole Williams (“Williams Decl. II”) ¶ 2, ECF 540-1. 6 7 The highlighted and redacted portions of Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 4 to Preclude Reliance on Undisclosed Non-Infringement Theories contain information 8 designated as “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” by Cisco under 9 the Protective Order in this case, and 10 from which confidential information 11 regarding Cisco’s accused products 12 could be potentially discerned. 13 Williams Decl. II ¶ 3 (appears to be 14 incorrectly numbered as a second ¶ 1). 15 16 The highlighted portions of this 17 document reflect confidential 18 information relating to Cisco’s 19 products that Cisco has designated as 20 “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, 21 ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” or 22 “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, 23 ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – 24 SOURCE CODE” under the 25 Protective Order, including 26 confidential details relating to the 27 design and operation of Cisco 28 products, including its source code, 29 which, if publicly disclosed, could 30 result in competitive harm to Cisco. 31 Declaration of Nicole Grigg (“Grigg 32 Decl. II”) ¶¶ 2-4, ECF 553.
23	540-4 Exhibit 7 to the Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Finjan Inc.’s Motions <i>In Limine</i> Nos. 1- 5	24 GRANTED as to the entire document.	25 This document reflects information 26 that Cisco has designated as Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only 27 under the Protective Order. Williams 28 Decl. II ¶ 2.
27	28 Excerpts of the 08-14-2019 Rebuttal Expert Report of Dr. Patrick McDaniel		Exhibit 7 to the Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Finjan Inc.’s Motions <i>In Limine</i> Nos.

United States District Court
Northern District of California

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			<p>1-5 (“Chacon Decl.”) contains information that Cisco has marked “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Protective Order in this litigation, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco’s accused products could be potentially discerned. Williams Dec. II ¶ 4 (appears to be incorrectly numbered as a second ¶ 2).</p> <p>This document reflects confidential information relating to Cisco’s products that Cisco has designated as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – SOURCE CODE” under the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, including its source code, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Grigg Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.</p>
540-6	<p>Exhibit 8 to the Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Finjan Inc.’s Motions <i>In Limine</i> Nos. 1- 5</p> <p>Excerpts of the 08-14-2019 Rebuttal Expert Report of Dr. Kevin Almeroth</p>	GRANTED as to the entire document.	<p>This document reflects information that Cisco has designated as Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only under the Protective Order. Williams Dec. II ¶ 2.</p> <p>Exhibit 8 to the Chacon Decl. contains information that Cisco has marked “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Protective Order in this litigation, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco’s accused products could be potentially discerned. Williams Dec. II ¶ 5 (appears to be incorrectly numbered as ¶ 3).</p> <p>This document reflects confidential information relating to Cisco’s products that Cisco has designated as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY –</p>

	<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
1				SOURCE CODE" under the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, including its source code, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Grigg Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
2	540-8	Exhibit 9 to the Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Finjan Inc.'s Motions <i>In Limine</i> Nos. 1- 5 Excerpts of the 08-14-2019 Rebuttal Expert Report of Dr. Atul Prakash	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document reflects information that Cisco has designated as Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only under the Protective Order. Williams Dec. II ¶ 2. Exhibit 9 to the Chacon Decl. contains information that Cisco has marked "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to the Protective Order in this litigation, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco's accused products could be potentially discerned. Williams Dec. II ¶ 6 (appears to be incorrectly numbered as ¶ 4). This document reflects confidential information relating to Cisco's products that Cisco has designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY – SOURCE CODE" under the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, including its source code, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Grigg Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
3	540-10	Exhibit 10 to the Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Finjan Inc.'s Motions <i>In Limine</i> Nos. 1- 5 Excerpts of Cisco's 04-18-	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document reflects information that Cisco has designated as Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only under the Protective Order. Williams Dec. II ¶ 2. Exhibit 10 to the Chacon Decl.

United States District Court
Northern District of California

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28
	2019 Third Supplemental Response to Finjan's 2nd Set of Interrogatories (No. 6)		contains information that Cisco has marked "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to the Protective Order in this litigation, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco's accused products could be potentially discerned. Williams Dec. II ¶ 7 (appears to be incorrectly numbered as ¶ 5). This document reflects confidential information relating to Cisco's products that Cisco has designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Grigg Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
	540-12	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document reflects information that Cisco has designated as Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only under the Protective Order. Williams Dec. II ¶ 2. Exhibits 11-15 to the "Chacon Decl." contain excerpts from documents that Cisco has marked "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to the Protective Order in this litigation. These excerpts contain information regarding the working of the accused products, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco's accused products could be potentially discerned. Williams Dec. II ¶ 8 (appears to be incorrectly numbered as ¶ 6). This document reflects confidential information relating to Cisco's products that Cisco has designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Grigg Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
540-14	Exhibit 12 to the Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Finjan Inc.'s Motions <i>In Limine</i> Nos. 1- 5 Appendix B3 to Finjan's 10-31- 2017 Supplemental Infringement Contentions	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document reflects information that Cisco has designated as Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only under the Protective Order. Williams Dec. II ¶ 2. Exhibits 11-15 to the "Chacon Decl." contain excerpts from documents that Cisco has marked "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to the Protective Order in this litigation. These excerpts contain information regarding the working of the accused products, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco's accused products could be potentially discerned. Williams Dec. II ¶ 8 (appears to be incorrectly numbered as ¶ 6). This document reflects confidential information relating to Cisco's products that Cisco has designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Grigg Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
540-16	Exhibit 13 to the Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Finjan Inc.'s Motions <i>In Limine</i> Nos. 1- 5 Appendix D1 to Finjan's 10-31- 2017 Supplemental	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document reflects information that Cisco has designated as Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only under the Protective Order. Williams Dec. II ¶ 2. Exhibits 11-15 to the "Chacon Decl." contain excerpts from documents that

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
	Infringement Contentions		<p>Cisco has marked “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Protective Order in this litigation. These excerpts contain information regarding the working of the accused products, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco’s accused products could be potentially discerned. Williams Dec. II ¶ 8 (appears to be incorrectly numbered as ¶ 6).</p> <p>This document reflects confidential information relating to Cisco’s products that Cisco has designated as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” under the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Grigg Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.</p>
540-18	<p>Exhibit 14 to the Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Finjan Inc.’s Motions <i>In Limine</i> Nos. 1- 5</p> <p>Appendix A4 to Finjan’s 11-30- 2017 Amended Infringement Charts</p>	GRANTED as to the entire document.	<p>This document reflects information that Cisco has designated as Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only under the Protective Order. Williams Dec. II ¶ 2.</p> <p>Exhibits 11-15 to the “Chacon Decl.” contain excerpts from documents that Cisco has marked “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Protective Order in this litigation. These excerpts contain information regarding the working of the accused products, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco’s accused products could be potentially discerned. Williams Dec. II ¶ 8 (appears to be incorrectly numbered as ¶ 6).</p> <p>This document reflects confidential information relating to Cisco’s products that Cisco has designated as</p>

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			“HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” under the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Grigg Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
540-20	Exhibit 15 to the Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Finjan Inc.’s Motions <i>In Limine</i> Nos. 1- 5 Appendix C3 to Finjan’s 11-30- 2017 Amended Infringement Charts	GRANTED as to the entire document.	<p>This document reflects information that Cisco has designated as Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only under the Protective Order. Williams Dec. II ¶ 2.</p> <p>Exhibits 11-15 to the “Chacon Decl. contain excerpts from documents that Cisco has marked “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Protective Order in this litigation. These excerpts contain information regarding the working of the accused products, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco’s accused products could be potentially discerned. Williams Dec. II ¶ 8 (appears to be incorrectly numbered as ¶ 6).</p> <p>This document reflects confidential information relating to Cisco’s products that Cisco has designated as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” under the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Grigg Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.</p>

25
26 **D. ECF 543, Finjan’s Administrative Motion to File under Seal Finjan’s Motion *in Limine* No. 5 and Exhibits**
27

28 This motion relates to Finjan’s Motion *in Limine* No. 5 and supporting exhibits. Finjan’s

1 motion Finjan's Motion *in Limine* No. 5 is now stricken. *See* ECF 548. Accordingly, Finjan's
2 Administrative Motion to File under Seal at ECF 543 is TERMINATED AS MOOT.

3 **E. ECF 566, Cisco's Administrative Motion to File under Seal Response to
4 Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Response to Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s Motion *in
Limine* Nos. 1-4**

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
566-4	Cisco's Response to Finjan's Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 1	GRANTED as to highlighted portions at: page 1 lines 10- 12, 21- 26; page 2 lines 7, 9-10, 12-13; page 3 lines 24- 28; page 4 lines 1-6	<p>The highlighted portions of this document are direct quotes from or reference the one or more exhibits that were previously filed under seal or that Cisco is filing under seal which were designated by the parties as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order for reasons discussed below. Declaration of Nicole Grigg ("Grigg Decl. III") ¶¶ 2-4, ECF 566-1.</p> <p>The highlighted portions of this document reflect testimony regarding business practices, and settlement agreements that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Declaration of Megan Chacon ("Chacon Decl. II") ¶¶ 2-4, ECF 580.</p>
566-6	Cisco's Response to Finjan's Motion <i>in Limine</i> No. 2	GRANTED as to highlighted portions at: page 1 lines 17- 19; 22- 25, 27; page 2 lines 10- 11, 17, 24- 25, 28; page 3 lines 1-2, 4, 14-15, 19-27;	The highlighted portions of this document are direct quotes from or reference the one or more exhibits that were previously filed under seal or that Cisco is filing under seal which were designated by the parties as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order for reasons discussed below. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.

United States District Court
Northern District of California

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
		page 4 lines 1-2, 4, 7-13, 16-18	The highlighted portions of this document reflect information regarding Finjan business practices and licensing practices that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
566-8	Cisco's Response to Finjan's Motion in Limine No. 4	GRANTED as to highlighted portions at: page 4 lines 4-6, 15-19	The highlighted portions of this document are direct quotes from or reference the one or more exhibits that were previously filed under seal or that Cisco is filing under seal which were designated by Finjan as or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order for reasons discussed below. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.
566-9	Exhibit 23 Exhibit 14 entered at the August 6, 2019 deposition of Shlomo Touboul	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This exhibit was entered in a deposition in which the transcript was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4. This document reflects confidential information related to Finjan's intellectual property and characterizations thereof that was designated "CONFIDENTIAL" by a third party under the Protective Order (Dkt. No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
566-10	Exhibit 24 Exhibit 15 entered at the August 5, 2019 Deposition of	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This exhibit was entered in a deposition in which the transcript was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
	Asher Polani		<p>ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.</p> <p>This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan's history and business practices that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.</p>
	566-11 Excerpts from the July 11, 2019 Expert Report of Dr. Anne LayneFarrar	GRANTED as to the entire document.	<p>This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order. Portions of this document contains Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.</p> <p>This document reflects information regarding Finjan's licensing practices and settlement agreements that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.</p>
	566-12 Excerpts from the August 14, 2019 Expert Report of Dr. Stephen L. Becker	GRANTED as to the entire document.	<p>This document was designated in its entirety by Cisco as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order. Portions of this document contain Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.</p> <p>This document reflects information regarding Finjan's licensing and business practices, including</p>

United States District Court
Northern District of California

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			information about settlement agreements, that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
566-13	Exhibit 30 Finjan's Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Agreement (FINJAN-CISCO 016859)	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.
			This document reflects a confidential non-compete and confidentiality agreement with a licensee that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
566-14	Exhibit 31 CISCO-FINJANYSP_00004952.001 (March 17, 2010 Email Exchange Regarding Finjan)	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated by Cisco as "CONFIDENTIAL" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.
			This document reflects confidential information related to Finjan's business practices and characterization thereof that was designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" by a third party under the Protective Order Dkt. No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
566-15	Exhibit 32	GRANTED as to the	This document was designated by Finjan as "HIGHLY

United States District Court
Northern District of California

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
1	2 CISCO- 3 FINJANYS_00005244.0001 4 (March 5, 2014 Email 5 Exchange Regarding Finjan)	6 entire 7 document.	8 CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS’ 9 EYES ONLY” under the terms of the 10 Protective Order. Specifically, this 11 document contains Finjan’s 12 confidential business information. 13 Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4. Chacon Decl. II 14 ¶¶ 2-4. 15 16 This document reflects confidential 17 information related to Finjan’s 18 business practices and characterization 19 thereof that was designated “HIGHLY 20 CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” by a third party under 21 the Protective Order Dkt. No. 97). 22 Public disclosure of this information 23 would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon 24 Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
12	13 566-16 14 Exhibit 33 15 Exhibit 6 entered at the 16 February 1, 2019 deposition of 17 Yoav Samet.	18 GRANTED 19 as to the 20 entire 21 document.	22 This exhibit was entered in a 23 deposition in which the transcript was 24 designated in its entirety by Cisco as 25 “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL- 26 ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” under 27 the terms of the Protective Order. 28 Specifically, this document contains Finjan’s confidential business information. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4. This document reflects confidential information related to Finjan’s intellectual property and characterization thereof that was designated “CONFIDENTIAL” by a third party under the Protective Order (Dkt. No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
23	24 566-17 25 Exhibit 34 26 Exhibits 4-7 entered at the 27 August 5, 2019 Deposition of 28 Asher Polani	29 GRANTED 30 as to the 31 entire 32 document.	33 These exhibits were entered in a 34 deposition in which the transcript was 35 designated in its entirety by Finjan as 36 “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL- 37 ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” under 38 the terms of the Protective Order. 39 Specifically, these documents contain 40 Finjan’s confidential business

	<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
1				information. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.
2				This document reflects a compilation of multiple documents regarding Finjan's business and intellectual property assessments, and Finjan's confidential product information, that either Finjan or third parties have designated "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY
3				CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11	566-18	Exhibit 35 Excerpts from the transcript of the April 10, 2019 deposition of Daniel Chinn	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains deposition testimony related to Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23	566-19	Exhibit 36 Excerpts from transcript of the March 15, 2019 deposition of Julie Mar Spinola	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains deposition testimony related to Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan's history and business practices, as well as licensing transactions and agreements, that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. II ¶¶ 2-4.
566-20	Exhibit 37 Excerpts from the transcript of the February 25, 2019 deposition of Phillip Harstein	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This transcript was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains deposition testimony related to Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.
566-21	Exhibit 38 Exhibit 68 entered at the February 25, 2019 deposition of Phillip Hartstein	DENIED	This exhibit was entered in a deposition in which the transcript was designated in its entirety by Finjan as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the terms of the Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains Finjan's confidential business information. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.
566-22	Exhibit 40	GRANTED as to the	The designating party, Finjan, does not seek to seal this document. <i>See generally</i> , Chacon Decl. II.

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
	Appendix D-2 to Finjan's Infringement Contentions	entire document.	CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order. Specifically, this document contains confidential technical information related to the structure, function and operation of the accused Cisco products and Finjan's analysis thereof. Grigg Decl. III ¶¶ 2-4.

8

9 **F. ECF 576, Finjan's Administrative Motion to File under Seal Finjan's Oppositions to Motions *In Limine* and Exhibits**

10

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
11 576-4	Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Corrected Opposition to Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Motion <i>In Limine</i> No.1 to Exclude Phil Hartstein's Testimony Regarding Finjan's Corporate History as Hearsay	GRANTED as to the highlighted portions at 4:3-4, 4:6-7	<p>12 This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan's business practices and transactions that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY</p> <p>13 CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order (Dkt. No. 97). Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Declaration of Megan Chacon ("Chacon Decl. III") ¶ 2, ECF 576-1.</p> <p>14 The highlighted portions of Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Corrected Opposition to Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Motion <i>In Limine</i> No.1 to Exclude Phil Hartstein's Testimony Regarding Finjan's Corporate History as Hearsay reflect testimony regarding Finjan's business practices and transactions. Finjan treats this confidential business practice and transactions information as highly confidential within its business and makes substantial efforts not to disclose such information to the public. Such information could be used by Finjan's competitors, as it reveals information related to Finjan's business practices and dealings.</p>

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			Chacon Decl. III ¶ 3.
576-6	Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Corrected Opposition to Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 3 RE Prejudicial Litigation Settlement	GRANTED as to the highlighted portions at Caption:20-21, 1:26-28, 2:1-2, 2:17, 2:20-24, 2:28, 3:10, 3:15, 3:18-19, 3:28, 4:25-26, 5:1, 5:4, 5:10, 5:18, 5:22	<p>This document reflects testimony regarding Finjan's licensing practices and settlement agreements that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order. Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 2.</p> <p>The highlighted portions of Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Corrected Opposition to Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 3 RE Prejudicial Litigation Settlement Amounts reflect testimony regarding Finjan's licensing practices and settlement agreements. Finjan treats this confidential business and financial information as highly confidential within its business and makes substantial efforts not to disclose such information to the public. The licensing information also reflects confidential third party information. Such information could be used by Finjan's competitors, as it reveals information relevant to Finjan's licensing practices and finances.</p> <p>Chacon Decl. III ¶ 4.</p>
576-8	Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Opposition to Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 4 RE Evidence and Arguments Pertaining to Certain '633 Patent Infringement Theories	GRANTED as to the highlighted portions at 3:4-5, 3:8, 3:13, 3:21, 3:27-28, 4:7-14, 4:16-22	<p>This document reflects information Cisco has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 2.</p> <p>The highlighted portions of Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Opposition to Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 4 RE Evidence and Arguments Pertaining to Certain '633 Patent Infringement Theories contain information designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS'</p>

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			<p>EYES ONLY" by Cisco under the Protective Order in this case, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco's accused products could be potentially discerned. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 5.</p> <p>The highlighted portions of this document reflect confidential information relating to Cisco's products that Cisco has designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, including its source code, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Declaration of Nicole Grigg ("Grigg Decl. IV") ¶¶ 2-4, ECF 579.</p>
576-10	<p>Exhibit B to Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Opposition to Defendant's Motions <i>in Limine</i> Nos. 1- 4 ("Chacon Decl.")</p> <p>Excerpts of Deposition Transcript of Phillip Hartstein dated February 25, 2019</p>	<p>GRANTED as to the highlighted portions of pages 56-57, 124- 125, 193-194, 350- 351</p>	<p>This document contains testimony regarding Finjan's business practices and transactions, including provisions regarding commercial agreements, that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order. Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 2.</p> <p>The highlighted portions of Exhibit B to the Chacon Decl. contains confidential business practices and transactions, including provisions regarding commercial agreements, that is not publicly available, including information about transactions, including provisions regarding commercial agreements. Finjan treats this information as highly confidential within its business and makes substantial efforts not to disclose such information to the</p>

United States District Court
Northern District of California

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			public. Such information could be used by Finjan's competitors, as it reveals information related to Finjan's business practices and dealings. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 6.
576-12	Exhibit E to Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Opposition to Defendant's Motions <i>in Limine</i> Nos. 1- 4 Excerpt of Exhibit 73 to the March 20, 2020 Deposition of John Garland	GRANTED as to the entire document.	This document contains information regarding Finjan's prior Finjan patent challenges for compromise offers and negotiation purposes, that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY-SUBJECT TO FRE 408" under the Protective Order. Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 2.
576-14	Exhibit F to Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Opposition to Defendant's Motions <i>in Limine</i> Nos. 1- 4 Excerpts of the August 14, 2019 Rebuttal Expert Report of Dr. Patrick McDaniel	GRANTED as to the entire document.	Exhibit E to the Chacon Decl. contains information designated regarding Finjan's prior patent challenges for compromise offers and negotiation purposes, designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY – SUBJECT TO FRE 408" that is not publicly available. Finjan treats this business practice information as highly confidential within its business and makes substantial efforts not to disclose such information to the public. Such information could be used by Finjan's competitors, as it reveals information related to Finjan's business practices. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 7. This document reflects information that Cisco has designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY- SOURCE CODE" under the Protective Order. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 2. Exhibit F to the Chacon Decl. contains information that Cisco has marked "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
	Regarding Non-Infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,677,494 and 6,804,780		<p>ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY - SOURCE CODE" pursuant to the Protective Order in the litigation, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco's accused products could be potentially discerned. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 8.</p> <p>This document reflects confidential information relating to Cisco's products that Cisco has designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY - SOURCE CODE" under the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, including its source code, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Grigg Decl. IV ¶¶ 2-4.</p>
576-16	<p>Exhibit G to Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Opposition to Defendant's Motions <i>in Limine</i> Nos. 1- 4</p> <p>Excerpts of the July 11, 2019 Opening Expert Report of Dr. Layne-Farrar</p>	GRANTED as to the entire document.	<p>This document reflects information regarding Finjan's licensing practices and settlement agreements that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order. Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Further, this document may reflect information that Cisco has designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco's accused products could be potentially discerned. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 2.</p> <p>Exhibit G to the Chacon Decl. reflect information regarding Finjan's licensing practices and settlement agreements. Finjan treats this confidential business and financial information as highly confidential within its business and makes</p>

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			<p>substantial efforts not to disclose such information to the public. The licensing information also reflects confidential third party information. Such information could be used by Finjan's competitors, as it reveals information relevant to Finjan's licensing practices and finances. Further, this document may reflect information that Cisco has designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco's accused products could be potentially discerned. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 9.</p> <p>This document reflects confidential information relating to Cisco's products that Cisco has designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY – SOURCE CODE" under the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, including its source code, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Grigg Decl. IV ¶¶ 2-4.</p>
576-18	<p>Exhibit J to Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Opposition to Defendant's Motions <i>in Limine</i> Nos. 1- 4</p> <p>Excerpts of the August 14, 2019 Rebuttal Expert Report of Dr. Harry Bims</p>	GRANTED as to the highlighted portions at pages 39, 46-47, 49	<p>This document reflects information regarding Finjan's licensing practices and settlement agreements that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order. Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Further, this document may reflect or reveal third party confidential information subject to an issued protective order. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 2.</p> <p>The highlighted portions of Exhibit J</p>

United States District Court
Northern District of California

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			<p>to the Chacon Decl. reflect information regarding Finjan's licensing practices and settlement agreements. Finjan treats this confidential business and financial information as highly confidential within its business and makes substantial efforts not to disclose such information to the public. The licensing information also reflects confidential third party information. Such information could be used by Finjan's competitors, as it reveals information relevant to Finjan's licensing practices and finances. Further, this document may reflect or reveal third party confidential information subject to an issued protective order. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 10.</p>
576-20	<p>Exhibit L to Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Opposition to Defendant's Motions <i>in Limine</i> Nos. 1- 4</p> <p>Excerpts of the August 14, 2019 Rebuttal Expert Report of Dr. Stephen L. Becker</p>	GRANTED as to the highlighted portions at pages 45, 93, 117, 121-123	<p>This document reflects information regarding Finjan's licensing and business practices, including information about settlement agreements, that Finjan has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order. Public disclosure of this information would cause harm to Finjan. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 2.</p> <p>The highlighted portions of Exhibit L to the Chacon Decl. reflect information regarding Finjan's licensing and business practices, including information about settlement agreements. Finjan treats this confidential business and financial information as highly confidential within its business and makes substantial efforts not to disclose such information to the public. The licensing information also reflects confidential third party information. Such information could be used by Finjan's competitors, as it reveals</p>

<u>ECF No.</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reasoning</u>
			information relevant to Finjan's licensing practices and finances. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 11.
576-22	<p>Exhibit M to Omnibus Declaration of Megan A. Chacon in Support of Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Opposition to Defendant's Motions <i>in Limine</i> Nos. 1- 4</p> <p>Excerpts of the December 13, 2019 Amended Expert Report of Nenad Medvidovic, Ph.D. Regarding Infringement of Cisco Systems, Inc. of Patent No. 7,647,633</p>	GRANTED as to the entire document.	<p>This document reflects information Cisco has designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY – SOURCE CODE" under the Protective Order, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco's accused products could be potentially discerned. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 2.</p> <p>Exhibit M to the Chacon Decl. contains information that Cisco has marked "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY – SOURCE CODE" pursuant to the Protective Order in the litigation, and from which confidential information regarding Cisco's accused products could be potentially discerned. Chacon Decl. III ¶ 12.</p> <p>This document reflects confidential information relating to Cisco's products that Cisco has designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY – SOURCE CODE" under the Protective Order, including confidential details relating to the design and operation of Cisco products, including its source code, which, if publicly disclosed, could result in competitive harm to Cisco. Grigg Decl. IV ¶¶ 2-4.</p>

1 **III. ORDER**

2 For the foregoing reasons:

3 (1) Finjan's Motion to Seal at ECF 530 is GRANTED.

4 (2) Cisco's Motion to Seal at ECF 532 is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART.

5 Cisco shall file an unredacted version of its Motion *in Limine* No. 4, no later than 7 days
6 from the date of this Order.

7 (3) Finjan's Motion to Seal at ECF 540 is GRANTED.

8 (4) Finjan's Motion to Seal at ECF 543 is TERMINATED AS MOOT.

9 (5) Cisco's Motion to Seal at ECF 566 is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART.

10 Cisco shall file "Exhibit 68 entered at the February 25, 2019 deposition of Phillip
11 Hartstein" at ECF 566-21 publicly no later than 7 days from the date of this Order.

12 (6) Finjan's Motion to Seal at ECF 576 is GRANTED.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14
15 Dated: April 28, 2020



16
17 BETH LABSON FREEMAN
18 United States District Judge